

Easter Offensive in 1972. Vietnam's bravery and wisdom had won victory over the mighty strength and the latest military achievements of the United States (Hoang, 2017).

III. CONCLUSION

Firstly, the first common point to notice that these three barriers were the typical representatives for the preventive and defense purposes. They were built on the foundation of the most modern facilities, even ahead their time in terms of materials, facilities, construction techniques... If the Berlin Wall was just a barrier to prevent their citizen from fleeing from their homeland, the Great Wall of China was prone to a mere defensive work, making the opponents afraid when confronting to the giant wall; then the Mc Namara electronic line was expected to detect any intruder at any forms and then actively attacked with superior firepower with the support of over 100 electronics devices and landmines which had been tested and brought into use by the United States. Many devices which were used in this fence are now developed and used by the United States in building the border wall between the United States and Mexico to prevent illegal immigration.

Secondly, however firm and modern these barriers were, these barriers only could not fulfill their missions of protection but still need the human factor. Regarding the Great Wall, although it has great defensive forces with the best means of communication at this time, when the leaders surrendered, it was easily lost the function. And to the case of Berlin Wall, its protective values could only be brought into use with a large number of patrols equipped with devices to detect escaping people. Or even a modern barrier like Mc Namara Line still need supporting forces. How to collect and process information were the duties of the modern computers, but it was the Officer in charge was the one who decided how to use this information. And at the end, the protective effect largely depended on the capacity of the air forces.

Thirdly, all these three barriers were sooner or later overcome. Ironically, the more modern the work was, the sooner it was defeated (the Great Wall existed for 2,000 years; Berlin Wall and Mc Namara Line was 28 and 5 years respectively). The common point for the failure of Great Wall and Berlin Wall originated from the interior when the owners of these walls retreated in front of their opponents. In the case of Berlin Wall, it was, in fact, the barrier to progress and unification of the country, so the abolition of the wall in inevitable. The Great Wall was the failure against a more backward force when the defenders surrendered. And Mc Namara Line was quickly defeated when facing an opponent with a lower level of development but possessed an outstanding spirit and wisdom. In this case, it was the Vietnamese country that could prove that all protective walls could be overcome by human, however sophisticated and modern it was.

Fourthly, facing the fact that the world we are living is now under uncertainties caused by migration, ethnic conflicts; many countries have decided to build new protective wall in various forms such as anti-intrusion walls, modern missile defense

system, trade barriers, firewall on the internet, and even the ties to ideology, ... When globalization and international integration become more vivid and the boundaries among countries gradually become vague; the appearance of such kind of walls are against the development. The country security is closely connected to the region and the world security, and for this reason, creating a barrier to protect ourselves is not the proper solution. What we should emphasize on is eliminating the reasons creating conflicts through collaboration for mutual development. The world would become secure if only there are no poverty, inequality and arms race.

REFERENCES

- [1] Brush, P. (1996). The story behind Mc Namara line. Vietnam Magazine. No.2. Retrieved from <https://msuweb.montclair.edu/~furr/pbmcnamara.html>
- [2] Cuoc chien bi mat – Ho so luc luong biet quan nguy (Hieu.Vu, Trans) (2011). Hanoi Publishing house.
- [3] Henry, S. (1971). Project CHECO Southeast Asia Report Igloo White. Retrieved from Scribd <https://www.scribd.com/document/81662778/11-1-1971-IGLOO-WHITE-January-1970-September-1971>
- [4] Hoang, C. Hieu. (2016). Doc Mieu - "The Magic Eye" of Mc Namara Electronic barrier". Military History Review, 6-2016, p. 59.
- [5] Hoang, C. Hieu. (2017). More research on the Aim, Time and Place to set up Mc Namara's Electronic Line. Military History Review, 3-2017, p.83
- [6] Krenz, E. (2010). Mua thu Duc 1989 (The Autumn in Germany 1989) (Duc. Le, Trans). People's Public Security Press.
- [7] Paullin, T. (2011). Chapter VI—"Numbed". Retrieved March 05, 2018, from http://jtmcnaughtonfindawayout.blogspot.com/2011_01_01_archived.html
- [8] U.S Ground Strategy and Force Developments, 1965-1968. In The Pentagon Papers (1971). Retrieved from <https://www.mtholyoke.edu/acad/intrel/pentagon4/pent7.html>.
- [9] Viet Nam Institute of Military History (1997). Hậu phương chiến tranh nhân dân Việt Nam (1945-1975). The People's Army Publishing House.

Chi Hieu Hoang

Place of birth: Quang Tri province, Vietnam; Date of birth: May 17, 1979. Mobile phone: 0914735779. He defended his doctoral thesis in 2012, specialized in Modern and Contemporary Vietnamese History at the University of Education, Hue University, Vietnam. In 2018, he was awarded the title of Associate Professor by the State Council for Professor Title of Vietnam.

Currently, he is a history lecturer at the Department of History, University of Education, Hue University, Vietnam. He has been working in this position since 2007 and obtained certain results. Some typical works can be listed such as the book: Demilitarized zone - The 17th parallel (1954-1967), publisher People's Army, Hanoi, 2017. ISBN 978-604-51-3147-3; *Political struggle in Tri-Thien-Hue years after the Geneva Accords (1954-1956)*, Hue University project, Code DHH2011-03-03, 2011-2012 and various papers in journals.